

## Chemiluminescence vs Chemifluorescence

Traditionally, radioisotopic protocols have always been standard in the laboratory. But with costs and concerns associated with using and disposing of radioisotopes escalating, many researchers are turning to non-isotopic methods wherever possible. Consequently, new chemistries are being developed to substitute radio-isotopic methods. The most popular method currently is that of chemiluminescence although some scientists prefer chemifluorescence. So how do these differ and which is better?

**Chemiluminescence** has become an accepted standard non-isotopic method for membrane applications. It is a chemical reaction occurring between an enzyme, such as horseradish peroxidase (HRP), and a chemiluminescent molecule, such as luminol, resulting in a light emission. This light emission can be detected with traditional x-ray films and CCD or PMT based detectors. It is more sensitive than colorimetric methods, the antibody-based systems provide target specificity and versatility, and the enzyme component provides signal amplification. With many manufacturers such as Perbio, GE Healthcare and Tropix developing and optimising the chemistry involved in chemiluminescent detection, it has become an indispensable protocol for substituting radioisotopes in laboratories world-wide.

**Chemifluorescence** is an alternative labelling and detection method for molecular biology and biochemistry that combines fluorescence and chemiluminescence. The difference between chemifluorescence and chemiluminescence lies in the detection mechanism. Whilst chemiluminescence generates light, based on an enzymatic reaction, chemifluorescence attaches a fluorescent molecule to either the secondary or tertiary antibody, which requires excitation via laser or some other high intensity light source. Once the fluorescent molecule is excited, it will generate a light emission that can be collected by a CCD or PMT based detector. It also provides greater sensitivity, target specificity and signal amplification than colorimetric methods as does chemiluminescence but there is only currently ONE manufacturer of chemifluorescent kits: GE Healthcare (Amersham) (ECF).

Overall, chemiluminescence is favoured over the two methods for the following reasons:-

1. Chemifluorescence does not allow for the use of x-ray film as a back up detection system as this cannot detect fluorescence.
2. No excitation source is required for chemiluminescence.

3. Chemiluminescent substrates have a shelf life of about a year whereas those of chemifluorescence (which contain a fluorescein molecule) will last only about a week.
4. The level of detection is also lower with that of chemiluminescence –femtogram level has been well documented.
5. Chemifluorescence due to its limited availability is very expensive. Chemiluminescence is much more affordable and is not restricted to one supplier who can regulate this price.

***Syngene reserves the right to amend or change specifications without prior notice. This Application note supersedes all earlier versions.***

*All trademarks acknowledged.*

03.05.09

UK tel: +44 (0)1223 727123  
Email: [sales@syngene.com](mailto:sales@syngene.com)

USA tel: 800 686 4407/301 662 2863  
Email: [ussales@syngene.com](mailto:ussales@syngene.com)